Causality Determination

Thomas Winters MD



Occupational & Environmental Health Network

Tom Winters, MD Profile



- Thomas H. Winters MD, FACOEM, FACPM, Principal and Chief Medical Officer for Occupational & Environmental Health Network (OEHN).
- Dr. Winters has over 25 years experience in occupational and environmental medicine. His previous positions have included Medical Director for several manufacturing companies and numerous hospitals, colleges and universities throughout Massachusetts.
- He is a the former State Police Surgeon Massachusetts State Police and owner/Medical Director of Medsite-an occupational and primary care medicine center. Dr. Winters has expertise in musculo-skeletal disease, toxic tort & radiation exposures, occupational & infectious diseases, and corporate medical consulting.
- Dr. Winters is also a Certified Medical Review Officer, Certified Medical Disability Examiner and Certified Independent Medical Examiner.
- Contact Info: twinters@bidmc.harvard.edu

Step-wise Approach to Determination of Work-Relatedness

Evidence of Disease Epidemiology Evidence of Exposure Other Relevant Factors Validity of Testimony **Synthesis**

Evaluating Causality

The five most important factors for evaluating work-relatedness causality.

Proximal Relation

Consistent MOI/Strength of Association

Appropriate Incident/Injury Response Relationships

Consistency of Association Among Literature

Coherence of Association with Physiologic Data

Preexisting Conditions





Causality Evaluation

Reasonable Medical Probability

Statement of medical opinion supporting a causal relationship between employment incident and disability/medical condition is not "probative"

Bradford Hill Criteria

Strength of Coherence Experiment Association Consistency Plausibility Analogy of findings Biological **Temporality** gradient

Updated Bradford Hill's Criteria

- Temporality is the most important of the Bradford Hill Criteria
- If the injury or disease precedes the incident event, causality cannot be established
- In addition to top 5, consider what alternate explanations exist to explain injury or disease the specificity of association and plausibility

Evaluating the Epidemiological Evidence

Study design

Study methods

Exposure assessment methods

Outcome measurements

Any controlled and uncontrolled confounders

Assess biases

Integrity of analyses

Statistical significance

Bradford Hill Criteria